arXiv:1508.01980v1 [math.AP] 9 Aug 2015

ASYMPTOTIC LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF SINGULAR SOLUTIONS OF THE FAST DIFFUSION EQUATION

KIN MING HUI AND SOOJUNG KIM

August 11, 2015

Abstract. We study the asymptotic large time behavior of singular solutions of the fast diffusion equation $u_t = \Delta u^m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)$ in the subcritical case $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $n \geq 3$. Firstly, we prove the existence of singular solution u of the above equation that is trapped in between self-similar solutions of the form of $t^{-\alpha} f_i(t^{-\beta} x)$, $i = 1, 2$, with initial value u_0 satisfying $A_1|x|^{-\gamma} \leq u_0 \leq A_2|x|^{-\gamma}$ for some constants $A_2 > A_1 > 0$ and $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$, where $\beta := \frac{1}{2-\gamma(1-m)}$, $\alpha := \frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$, and the self-similar profile f_i satisfies the elliptic equation

$$\Delta f^m + \alpha f + \beta x \cdot \nabla f = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

with $\lim_{|x|\to 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f_i(x) = A_i$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_i(x) = D_{A_i}$ for some constants $D_{A_i} > 0$. When $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$, under an integrability condition on the initial value u_0 of the singular solution u, we prove that the rescaled function

$$\tilde{u}(y, \tau) := t^{\alpha} u(t^{\beta} y, t), \quad \tau := \log t,$$

converges to some self-similar profile f as $\tau \to \infty$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Inversion elliptic problem for self-similar profiles 7
3. Singular self-similar profiles 18
4. Existence and asymptotic behavior of singular solutions 23
4.1. Existence 24
4.2. Large time asymptotics 29
References 36

1. Introduction

We study solutions of the Cauchy problem of the fast diffusion equation

$$u_t = \Delta u^m \tag{1.1}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B35, 35B44, 35K55, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. existence, large time behavior, fast diffusion equation, singular solution, self-similar solution.

in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$, which blow up at the origin $x=0$ for all time, in the subcritical case $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $n\ge 3$. The equation (1.1) is the well-known heat equation for $m=1$, porous medium equation for $m>1$, and fast diffusion equation for $0<m<1$, respectively, that model diffusive processes of heat flows and gas flows in various media [A], [DK], [V2]. When $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$, $n\ge 3$, the equation (1.1) also arises in the study of the Yamabe flow equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}=-Rg \tag{1.2}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n$ where R is the scalar curvature of the metric $g(x,t)$ at time t [DKS], [DS2], [PS], [Y]. In fact the metric $g=u^{\frac{4}{n+2}}dx^2$ on an open set $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\ge 3$, evolves by the Yamabe flow (1.2) for $0<t<T$ if and only if u is a solution of

$$u_t=\frac{n-1}{m}\Delta u^m \quad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T) \quad \text{with } m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}.$$

There is an extensive literature on the existence, uniqueness, regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.1) in the case $m\ge 1$ and in the supercritical case $\frac{n-2}{n}<m<1$. In the subcritical case $0<m\le\frac{n-2}{n}$, the properties of the solutions of (1.1) are quite different [V1] and have been extensively studied in recent years by P. Daskalopoulos, J. King, M. del Pino, N. Sesum, M. Sáez, [DKS, DPS, DS1, DS2, PS], S.Y. Hsu [Hs1, Hs2, Hs3], K.M. Hui [Hui1, Hui2, Hui3], M. Fila, J.L. Vazquez, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida [FVWY, FW], A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, J.L. Vazquez [BBDGV, BDGV], etc. We also refer the readers to the survey paper [A] and the books [DK], [V2] on the recent results on (1.1).

In this paper we are concerned with solutions of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$ which blow up at the origin $x=0$ for all time in the subcritical case $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $n\ge 3$. More precisely, we will prove global existence of solution u of the fast diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t=\Delta u^m & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)\\ u(\cdot,0)=u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}\end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

which blows up at the origin $x=0$ for all time with initial value u_0 satisfying the growth condition

$$A_1|x|^{-\gamma}\le u_0(x)\le A_2|x|^{-\gamma} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\} \tag{1.4}$$

for some constants $A_2>A_1>0$ and $\frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<\frac{n-2}{m}$ where $n\ge 3$ and $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$.

We will adapt the method in [DS1, DKS, Hs1], which uses integrability of the solution near the origin, to study the asymptotic large time behavior of the solution of (1.3) when $\frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<n$. In this case the solution u of (1.3) with initial value u_0 satisfying (1.4) is also a weak solution to the Cauchy problem for the fast diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t=\Delta u^m & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)\\ u(\cdot,0)=u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.\end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

The study of existence and large time asymptotics of solutions of (1.3) satisfying (1.4) relies on the study of the self-similar solutions of (1.3) which have initial value of the form $A|x|^{-\gamma}$ for some constants $A>0$ and $\frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<\frac{n-2}{m}$. For any

$\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$, we consider a radially symmetric self-similar solution of (1.1) of the form

$$U(x,t) := t^{-\alpha} f(t^{-\beta}x), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{1}{2-\gamma(1-m)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha := \frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}. \tag{1.6}$$

Then $(m-1)\alpha + 2\beta = 1$, $\alpha = \beta\gamma$, and $U(x,t)$ is a solution of (1.3) with initial value $U_0(x) = A|x|^{-\gamma}$ if and only if f is a radially symmetric solution of

$$\Delta f^m + \alpha f + \beta x \cdot \nabla f = 0, \quad f > 0 \tag{1.7}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f(x) = A \tag{1.8}$$

where we recall that $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. Note that since $\gamma > \frac{2}{1-m}$, $\alpha < 0$ and $\beta < 0$. Since the asymptotic large time behavior of solution of (1.3) is usually similar to the self-similar solution of (1.1) we will first prove the following result in our paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence of self-similar profile)**.** *Let* $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$,

$$\beta < 0, \quad \rho_1 > 0, \quad \alpha := \frac{2\beta-\rho_1}{1-m} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{n-2}{m}. \tag{1.9}$$

For any $A > 0$, *there exists a unique radially symmetric solution* f *of* (1.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, *which satisfies* (1.8) *and*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(x) = D_A \tag{1.10}$$

for some constant $D_A > 0$ *depending on* A. *Moreover,*

$$\Delta f^m = -(\alpha f + \beta x \cdot \nabla f) < 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.11}$$

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in section 2 using an inversion method which transforms the above problem into an equivalent existence problem of the related inversion elliptic equation. Note that a heuristic proof of the existence of solution of (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (1.8) for the case $\frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < n$ using phase-plane analysis is given in Chapter 5 of [V2].

We will let $n \geq 3$ and $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ for the rest of the paper. In the case when $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{1-m}$, it was proved in [Hs3, Theorem 1.2] that a rescaled limit of the global smooth solution u of (1.5) with initial value $u_0(x) \approx A|x|^{-\gamma}$ as t tends to infinity is a radially symmetric self-similar profile f which satisfies (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ given in (1.6), and

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\gamma} f(x) = A.$$

In the case $\gamma = \frac{2}{1-m}$, the self-similar shrinking Barenblatt type solution $\mathcal{B}_k$ of (1.1) defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_k(x,t) := \left(\frac{C^*(T-t)}{|x|^2 + k(T-t)^{2\sigma^*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}, \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T),$$

where $T > 0$ and $k \ge 0$ are free parameters and

$$C^* := \frac{2m(n-2-nm)}{1-m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^* := -\frac{1}{n-2-nm},$$

which vanishes identically at time T is well known. In particular when $k = 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_0(x,t) = \left(\frac{C^*(T-t)}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}$$

remains singular at the origin for all time $t < T$ with $\mathcal{B}_0(x,0) = (C^*T)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(x,T) \equiv 0$. For general initial value satisfying the condition $u_0 \approx A|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$ for some constant $A > 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, asymptotic behavior of the solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$ near the extinction time T has been studied in [DS1, DKS, Hui3].

For the case $\gamma = \frac{n-2}{m}$, $A|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}$ is a particular solution of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$.

Remark 1.2. *Let α, β and ρ_1 satisfy* (1.9) *and let f_1 be the radially symmetric solution of* (1.7) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies* (1.8) *and* (1.10) *with $A = 1$ for some constant $D_1 > 0$ given by Theorem 1.1. For any $\lambda > 0$, we define*

$$f_\lambda(x) := \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_1(\lambda x). \tag{1.12}$$

Then f_λ satisfies (1.7) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \lim\limits_{|x|\to 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f_\lambda(x) = \lim\limits_{|x|\to 0} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (\lambda|x|)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f_1(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}, \\ \lim\limits_{|x|\to \infty} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_\lambda(x) = \lim\limits_{|x|\to \infty} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} (\lambda|x|)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_1(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} D_1. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

By the uniqueness result of Theorem 1.1 and the scaling property above, the solution f of (1.7) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies* (1.8) *and* (1.10) *for given constants $A > 0$ and $D_A > 0$ coincides with the rescaled function f_λ given by* (1.12) *with $\lambda = A^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})}$ and*

$$D_A = D_1 A^{(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m})/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})}.$$

Observed by Remark 3.5 in section 3 for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f_\lambda(x)$ is a monotone decreasing function of $\lambda > 0$.

Let $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$, $\rho_1 = 1$, and α, β be given by (1.6). Then the self-similar profile f_λ given by (1.12) yields a self-similar solution

$$U_\lambda(x,t) := t^{-\alpha} f_\lambda(t^{-\beta}x) \quad \forall (x,t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty) \tag{1.14}$$

of (1.3) with initial value $U_{\lambda,0}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma}|x|^{-\gamma}$ since $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ and

$$\lim_{t\to 0} U_\lambda(x,t) = \lim_{|y|=t^{-\beta}|x|\to 0} |x|^{-\gamma}|y|^{\gamma} f_\lambda(y) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma}|x|^{-\gamma} \quad \forall x \neq 0.$$

When $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$, by (1.13) $U_\lambda \in C\left([0,\infty); L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap C\left((0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ is a weak solution of (1.5) with initial value $U_{\lambda,0}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma}|x|^{-\gamma} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

When $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$, we will prove the existence of solution of (1.3) trapped in between two self-similar solutions $U_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, of the form (1.14) with initial value u_0 satisfying

$$A_1|x|^{-\gamma} \le u_0(x) \le A_2|x|^{-\gamma}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \tag{1.15}$$

where $A_i = \lambda_i^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma}$, $i = 1, 2$. We will also establish a weighted L^1-contraction theorem for such solutions. Since $|x|^{-\gamma}$ is not integrable in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the difference of any two initial values u_0, v_0, that satisfy (1.15) may not be integrable in $\mathbb{R}^n$. So we need to introduce a weighted L^1-space in order to study the asymptotic large time behavior of the solution of (1.3) with initial value u_0 satisfying (1.15).

For any $\mu > 0$, we define the weighted L^1-space with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$ by

$$L^1(r^{-\mu}; \mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{h : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)||x|^{-\mu} dx < \infty\right\}$$

with norm

$$\|h\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu};\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)||x|^{-\mu} dx.$$

Let us fix some constants that will be used later. Let

$$\mu_1 := \max\left(0,\, n - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 := n - 2 - \frac{m\alpha}{\beta}. \tag{1.16}$$

Unless stated otherwise we will now assume that $\frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{n-2}{m}$ for the rest of the paper. Then $0 \le \mu_1 < \mu_2 < n - 2$.

Theorem 1.3 (Weighted L^1-contraction)**.** *Let $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$. Let u and v be solutions of* (1.3) *which satisfy*

$$U_{\lambda_1} \le u, v \le U_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \tag{1.17}$$

where U_{λ_i}, $i = 1, 2$, are given by (1.14) *with α and β given by* (1.6) *and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$. Assume that $|u_0 - v_0| \in L^1(r^{-\mu}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some constant $\mu \in (\mu_1, \mu_2)$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u - v|(x, t)|x|^{-\mu}\, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0 - v_0|(x)|x|^{-\mu}\, dx \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u - v)_+ (x, t)|x|^{-\mu}\, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_0 - v_0)_+ (x)|x|^{-\mu}\, dx \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{1.19}$$

Theorem 1.4 (Existence)**.** *Let $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}$. Let u_0 satisfy* (1.15) *for some constants $A_2 > A_1 > 0$. Then there exists a unique solution u of* (1.3) *satisfying*

$$U_{\lambda_1} \le u \le U_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.20}$$

where U_{λ_i} for $i = 1, 2$, are given by (1.14) *with α, β, given by* (1.6), *and $\lambda_i := A_i^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Moreover*

$$u_t \le \frac{u}{(1 - m)\, t} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty). \tag{1.21}$$

For any solution u of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$ and constants α,β satisfying $\alpha=\frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$, we define the rescaled function $\tilde{u}$ by

$$\tilde{u}(y,\tau):=t^{\alpha}u(t^{\beta}y,t),\qquad \tau:=\log t. \tag{1.22}$$

Then $\tilde{u}$ satisfes

$$\tilde{u}_{\tau}=\Delta\tilde{u}^m+\alpha\tilde{u}+\beta y\cdot\nabla\tilde{u} \tag{1.23}$$

in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(-\infty,\infty)$ in the classical sense since $(m-1)\alpha+2\beta=1$. In particular

$$\tilde{U}_{\lambda}(y,\tau)=f_{\lambda}(y)\qquad\text{for } (y,\tau)\in(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(-\infty,\infty).$$

Note that if u is the solution of (1.3) given by Theorem 1.4, then (1.20) implies that

$$f_{\lambda_1}(y)\le\tilde{u}(y,\tau)\le f_{\lambda_2}(y)\quad\forall(y,\tau)\in(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(-\infty,\infty). \tag{1.24}$$

When $\frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<n$, we will prove the large time behavior of the solution given by Theorem 1.4 with initial value satisfying (1.15) for some constants $A_2>A_1>0$, in which case, the solution belongs to $C([0,\infty);L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.5) (Corollary 4.1 in section 4). More precisely we have the following main result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n\ge3$, $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $\frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<n$, and let α,β be given by* (1.6). *Let u_0 satisfy* (1.15) *and*

$$u_0-A_0|x|^{-\gamma}\in L^1\left(r^{-\mu};\mathbb{R}^n\right) \tag{1.25}$$

for some constants $A_2\ge A_0\ge A_1>0$ and $\mu_1<\mu<\mu_2$, where μ_1, μ_2 are given by (1.16). *Let u be the solution of* (1.3) *which satisfies* (1.20) *with $\lambda_i=A_i^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$ for $i=1,2$, and let $\tilde{u}(y,\tau)$ be given by* (1.22). *Then as $\tau\to\infty$, $\tilde{u}(y,\tau)$ will converge uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$ and in $L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $f_{\lambda_0}(y)$ where $\lambda_0=A_0^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$.*

We end the introduction by stating some definitions and notations that will be used in the paper.

- For any $0\le u_0\in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})$, we say that u is a solution of (1.3) if $u>0$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$ satisfies (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$ in the classical sense and
$$\|u(\cdot,t)-u_0\|_{L^1(K)}\to0\qquad\text{as } t\to0 \tag{1.26}$$
for any compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$.
- For any $0\le u_0\in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we say that u is a weak solution of (1.5) if $0\le u\in C\left([0,\infty);L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ satisfies (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$ in the distributional sense and (1.26) holds for any compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$.
- For any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and $R>0$, we let $B_R(x_0)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x-x_0|<R\}$ and $B_R=B_R(0)$. We also let $\mathcal{A}_R=B_R\setminus\overline{B}_{1/R}$ for any $R>1$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will study the inversion elliptic problem associated with the solution of (1.7) which satisfies (1.8) and (1.10) for some constants $A>0$ and $D_A>0$. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we will prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

2. INVERSION ELLIPTIC PROBLEM FOR SELF-SIMILAR PROFILES

In order to study the existence of singular self-similar solutions of (1.1), we introduce an inversion formula for the solution of (1.7) which satisfies (1.8) and (1.10) for some constants $A > 0$ and $D_A > 0$. We first note that f is a radially symmetric solution of (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, if and only if the function

$$g(r) := r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r^{-1}), \quad r = |x| > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

satisfies

$$\Delta g^m + |x|^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-2}\left(\tilde{\alpha} g + \tilde{\beta} x \cdot \nabla g\right) = 0, \quad g > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\tilde{\beta} = -\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{n-2}{m}\beta. \tag{2.3}$$

In this case the condition (1.8) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}} g(x) = A. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that if (1.9) holds, then

$$\tilde{\alpha} > 0, \quad \tilde{\beta} > 0, \quad \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{n-2}{m} \in \left(0, \frac{n-2}{m}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$0 < \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} < \frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{n-2}{m}. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence existence of a radially symmetric solution f of (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (1.8) and (1.10) is equivalent to the existence of a radially symmetric solution g of (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (2.4) and $g(0) = D_A$. In this section we will prove the existence of a radially symmetric solution g to (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (2.4) when (1.9) holds.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $n \geq 3$, $0 < m \leq \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\tilde{\alpha} > 0, \tilde{\beta} \neq 0$ *and* $\tilde{\alpha}/\tilde{\beta} \leq \frac{n-2}{m}$. *For any* $\eta > 0$ *and* $R_0 > 0$, *let* $g \in C([0, R_0); \mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0, R_0); \mathbb{R})$ *be a solution to*

$$(g^m)'' + \frac{n-1}{r}(g^m)' + r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-2}(\tilde{\alpha} g + \tilde{\beta} r g_r) = 0, \quad g > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

in $(0, R_0)$ *which satisfies*

$$g(0) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r\to 0+} r g_r(r) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\tilde{k} := \tilde{\beta}/\tilde{\alpha}$. *Then*

$$\begin{cases} g(r) + \tilde{k} r g'(r) > 0 & \forall r \in (0, R_0) \\ g'(r) < 0 & \forall r \in (0, R_0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to one for [Hs2, Lemma 2.1]. Let $h_1(r) := g(r) + \tilde{k} r g'(r)$. By direct computation h_1 satisfies

$$h_1' + \left\{\frac{n-2-(m/\tilde{k})}{r} - (1-m)\frac{g'}{g} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{m} r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1} g^{1-m}\right\} h_1 = \left(n-2-\frac{m}{\tilde{k}}\right)\frac{g}{r} \geq 0 \tag{2.9}$$

in $(0,R_0)$ since $\frac{1}{\tilde{k}}=\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\le\frac{n-2}{m}$. By (2.8) there exists $\varepsilon_0\in(0,R_0)$ such that $h_1(\varepsilon)>0$ for any $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$. Let $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$ and

$$q(r):=g^{m-1}(r)\exp\left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{m}\int_\varepsilon^r\rho^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}g^{1-m}(\rho)d\rho\right),\quad\forall r\in(\varepsilon,R_0).$$

Multiplying (2.9) by $r^{n-2-(m/\tilde{k})}q(r)$, we have

$$\left(r^{n-2-(m/\tilde{k})}q(r)h_1(r)\right)'\ge 0\quad\text{in }(\varepsilon,R_0),\quad\forall 0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$$

which implies $h_1(r)>0$ for $\varepsilon<r<R_0$ and $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$. Hence $h_1(r)>0$ for any $0<r<R_0$. Since

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\left(r^{n-1}(g^m)'\right)'=-\tilde{\alpha}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-2}h_1<0\quad\forall r\in(0,R_0),$$

it follows from (2.8) that $r^{n-1}(g^m)'<0$ in $(0,R_0)$. Hence $g'<0$ in $(0,R_0)$ and the lemma follows. □

In the following lemmas we will prove the local existence of solution of the O.D.E (2.7).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n\ge 3$, $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n+1}$, and $\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}\in\mathbb{R}$. For any $\eta>0$, there exists a constant $\varepsilon>0$ such that (2.7) has a unique solution $g\in C^1([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})\cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ in $(0,\varepsilon)$ which satisfies*

$$g(0)=\eta\quad\text{and}\quad g'(0)=0.\tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\eta}:=\eta/2$, and let $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ be a constant to be chosen later. We first observe that if $g\in C^1([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})\cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$ which satisfies (2.10), then

$$(g^m(r))'=-\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho g_\rho(\rho)\right\}d\rho\quad\forall r\in(0,\varepsilon)$$

which suggests one to use fix point argument to prove existence of solution of (2.7). We now define the Banach space

$$\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon:=\{(g,h):g,h\in C([0,\varepsilon];\mathbb{R})\}$$

with a norm given by

$$\|(g,h)\|_{\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon}=\max\left\{\|g\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])},\|h\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])}\right\}.$$

For any $(g,h)\in\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon$, we define

$$\Phi(g,h):=(\Phi_1(g,h),\Phi_2(g,h)),$$

where for $0<r\le\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{cases}\Phi_1(g,h)(r):=\eta+\displaystyle\int_0^r h(\rho)\,d\rho,\\ \Phi_2(g,h)(r):=-\dfrac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\displaystyle\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho h(\rho)\right\}d\rho.\end{cases}\tag{2.11}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}:=\left\{(g,h)\in\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon:\|(g,h)-(\eta,0)\|_{\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon}\le\tilde{\eta}=\eta/2\right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon$. We will show that if $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ is sufficiently small, the map $(g,h) \mapsto \Phi(g,h)$ will have a unique fixed point in $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$.

We first prove that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ if $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ is sufficiently small. In fact for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $(g,h) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$,

$$\max_{0\le r\le\varepsilon}\left|\int_0^r h(\rho)\,d\rho\right| \le \tilde{\eta}\varepsilon \le \tilde{\eta},$$

and for $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{|\tilde{\alpha}|g(\rho) + |\tilde{\beta}\rho h(\rho)|\right\}d\rho\\
\le&(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}\left(\frac{3\tilde{\eta}|\tilde{\alpha}|\,r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}}{n-2-2m} + \frac{|\tilde{\beta}|\tilde{\eta}\,r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}}{n-2-m}\right)\\
\le&(3\tilde{\eta})^{2-m}\frac{|\tilde{\alpha}| + |\tilde{\beta}|}{n-2-2m}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\\
=&Mr^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\tilde{\eta} \le M\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\tilde{\eta},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

where $M := 3(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}\frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|+|\tilde{\beta}|}{n-2-2m}$, since $\tilde{\eta} \le g \le 3\tilde{\eta}$ for $(g,h) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$. Since $\frac{n-2-nm}{m} - 1 > 0$, by (2.12) $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.

Now we will prove that $\Phi\Big|_{\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}}$ is a contraction map if $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ is sufficiently small. Let $(g_1,h_1), (g_2,h_2) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ and $\delta := \|(g_1,h_1) - (g_2,h_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\|\Phi_1(g_1,h_1) - \Phi_1(g_2,h_2)\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} \le \max_{0\le r\le\varepsilon}\int_0^r |h_1(\rho) - h_2(\rho)|d\rho \le \varepsilon\delta,$$

and by (2.12), for $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{g_1^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g_1(\rho) + \tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)\right\}d\rho\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g_2(\rho) + \tilde{\beta}\rho h_2(\rho)\right\}d\rho\right|\\
\le&\frac{|g_1^{1-m}(r) - g_2^{1-m}(r)|}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{|\tilde{\alpha}|g_1 + |\tilde{\beta}\rho h_1|\right\}d\rho\\
&\qquad+\delta\cdot\frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left(|\tilde{\alpha}| + |\tilde{\beta}|\rho\right)d\rho\\
\le&\frac{(1-m)}{\tilde{\eta}^m}\frac{|g_1(r) - g_2(r)|}{g_1^{1-m}(r)}M\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\tilde{\eta} + \frac{\delta\,(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left(|\tilde{\alpha}| + |\tilde{\beta}|\rho\right)d\rho\\
\le&\left\{(1-m)M + \frac{(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}}{n-2-2m}\left(|\tilde{\alpha}| + |\tilde{\beta}|\varepsilon\right)\right\}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\,\delta
\end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{\eta} \le g_1(r), g_2(r) \le 3\tilde{\eta}$ for any $r \in [0,\varepsilon]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi_2(g_1,h_1) - \Phi_2(g_2,h_2)\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])}\\
&\le \max_{0<r\le\varepsilon}\left|\frac{g_1^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g_1(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)\right\}d\rho\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g_2(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho h_2(\rho)\right\}d\rho\right|\\
&\le\left\{(1-m)M+\frac{(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}}{n-2-2m}\left(|\tilde{\alpha}|+|\tilde{\beta}|\varepsilon\right)\right\}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1}\,\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{n-2-nm}{m} - 1 > 0$, by choosing $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ sufficiently small, we obtain that Φ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ with a Lipschitz constant which is less than $1/2$. Hence by the contraction map theorem there exists a unique fixed point $(g,h) = \Phi(g,h)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$. Then

$$\begin{cases} g(r) = \eta + \displaystyle\int_0^r h(\rho)\,d\rho \quad \forall 0 \le r < \varepsilon \\ h(r) = -\dfrac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\displaystyle\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}(\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho h(\rho))\,d\rho \quad \forall 0 < r < \varepsilon \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad g'(r) = h(r) = -\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}(\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho g'(\rho))\,d\rho \quad \forall 0 < r < \varepsilon \tag{2.14}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r^{n-1}(g^m)'(r) = -\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}(\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho g'(\rho))\,d\rho \quad \forall 0 < r < \varepsilon. \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), $g(0) = \eta$ and $g'(r)$ is continuously differentiable in $(0,\varepsilon)$. Since $g' = h \in C([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ in $(0,\varepsilon)$, by (2.14),

$$|g'(r)| \le \frac{C}{r^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\,d\rho \le C' r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as } r \to 0$$

and then g belongs to $C^1([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies (2.10). Differentiating (2.15) with respect to $r \in (0,\varepsilon)$, we get that g satisfies (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$. Hence $g \in C^1([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ is the unique solution of (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$ which satisfies (2.10). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $n \ge 3$, $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \le m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, *and* $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$. *For any* $\eta > 0$, *there exists a constant* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that* (2.7) *has a unique solution* $g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ *in* $(0,\varepsilon)$ *which satisfies*

$$g(0) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r\to0^+} r^{\delta_1} g_r(r) = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\delta_1 = 1 - \frac{n-2-nm}{m} \in [0,1) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 = \frac{1-\delta_1}{2} = \frac{n-2-nm}{2m} \in (0,1/2]. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\eta} := \eta/2$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ be a constant to be chosen later. We define the Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon := \Big\{ &(g,h) : g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon];\mathbb{R}), h \in C((0,\varepsilon];\mathbb{R}), \text{ and} \\ &r^{\delta_1} h(r) \text{ can be extended to a function in } C([0,\varepsilon];\mathbb{R}) \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

with norm

$$\|(g,h)\|_{\mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon} = \max\left\{ \|g\|_{C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon])}, \|r^{\delta_1} h\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} \right\},$$

where

$$\|g\|_{C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon])} = \|g\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} + [g]_{\delta_0,[0,\varepsilon]} = \|g\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} + \sup_{r,s\in[0,\varepsilon],\, r\neq s} \frac{|g(r)-g(s)|}{|r-s|^{\delta_0}},$$

and we will still denote the extension of $r^{\delta_1}h$ by $r^{\delta_1}h$. For any $(g,h) \in \mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon$, let $\Phi(g,h) := (\Phi_1(g,h), \Phi_2(g,h))$ be given by (2.11), which is well-defined for $0 < r \le \varepsilon$ since $0 \le \delta_1 < 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta} := \left\{ (g,h) \in \mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon : \|(g,h) - (\eta, -\zeta r^{-\delta_1})\|_{\mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon} \le \tilde{\eta} = \eta/2, \quad g(0) = \eta \right\},$$

where

$$\zeta := \frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m}.$$

We will show that for $\eta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that the map $(g,h) \mapsto \Phi(g,h)$ has a unique fixed point in the closed subspace $\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$.

We first prove that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. For any $(g,h) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$, $0 \le r < \varepsilon$, and $0 < s \le \varepsilon - r$,

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-\delta_0} |\Phi_1(g,h)(r+s) - \Phi_1(g,h)(r)| =& s^{-\delta_0} \left| \int_r^{r+s} h(\rho)\,d\rho \right| \\ \le& s^{-\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}} (\tilde{\eta} + |\zeta|) \int_r^{r+s} \rho^{-\delta_1}\,d\rho \\ =& \frac{\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|}{1-\delta_1} s^{\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{s}+1\right)^{1-\delta_1} - \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{1-\delta_1} \right\} \\ \le& \frac{\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|}{1-\delta_1} s^{\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

since $(1+z)^{1-\delta_1} \le 1 + z^{1-\delta_1}$ for any $z > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi_1(g,h) - \eta\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} \le \dfrac{\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|}{1-\delta_1}\varepsilon^{1-\delta_1} = \dfrac{m(\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|)}{n-2-nm}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \le \dfrac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \\ [\Phi_1(g,h) - \eta]_{\delta_0,[0,\varepsilon]} = [\Phi_1(g,h)]_{\delta_0,[0,\varepsilon]} \le \dfrac{\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|}{1-\delta_1}\varepsilon^{\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}} = \dfrac{m(\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|)}{n-2-nm}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}} \le \dfrac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \end{cases}$$

if $0 < \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}} < \frac{(n-2-nm)\,\eta}{4m\,(\eta+|\zeta|)}$. Thus

$$\|\Phi_1(g,h) - \eta\|_{C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon])} \le \tilde{\eta} \tag{2.18}$$

if $0<\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}<\frac{(n-2-nm)\,\eta}{4m\,(\eta+|\zeta|)}$. By the l'Hospital rule, for any $(g,h)\in\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{r\to0^+}\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho h(\rho)\right\}d\rho\\
=&\frac{\eta^{1-m}}{m}\lim_{r\to0^+}\frac{r^{n-2-\delta_1}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(r)+\tilde{\beta}rh(r)\right\}}{(n-1-\delta_1)r^{n-2-\delta_1}}\\
=&\frac{\eta^{1-m}}{m(n-1-\delta_1)}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}\eta+\tilde{\beta}\lim_{r\to0^+}rh(r)\right\}\\
=&\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m}=\zeta
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

since $0\le\delta_1<1$ and $\lim\limits_{r\to0}|rh(r)|\le\lim\limits_{r\to0}(\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|)\,r^{1-\delta_1}=0$. This implies that for any $(g,h)\in\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$, $r^{\delta_1}\Phi_2(g,h)\in C([0,\varepsilon];\mathbb{R})$ with $\lim_{r\to0}r^{\delta_1}\Phi_2(g,h)(r)=-\zeta$. Now we claim that the convergence in (2.19) is uniform for any $(g,h)\in\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$. We first observe that for any $0<r\le\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-2+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-\delta_1}\rho^{\delta_1}|h(\rho)|d\rho\le&\frac{(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}(\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|)r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}}{m\left(n-1+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-\delta_1\right)}\\
=&\frac{(3\tilde{\eta})^{1-m}\,(\tilde{\eta}+|\zeta|)}{m\,(n-2\delta_1)}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}
\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\tilde{\alpha}g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}g(\rho)\,d\rho-\zeta\right|\\
=&|\tilde{\alpha}|\cdot\left|\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}g(\rho)\,d\rho-\frac{g^{2-m}(0)}{n-2-2m}\right|\\
\le&|\tilde{\alpha}|\cdot\frac{|g^{1-m}(r)-g^{1-m}(0)|}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}g(\rho)\,d\rho\\
&\qquad+|\tilde{\alpha}|\cdot\frac{g^{1-m}(0)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}|g(\rho)-g(0)|\,d\rho
\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

since $g(0)=\eta$, $\tilde{\eta}\le g\le3\tilde{\eta}$ in $[0,\varepsilon]$, and

$$\frac{1}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}d\rho=\frac{1}{mr^{n-2+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}d\rho=\frac{1}{n-2-2m}.$$

By (2.21) and the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\tilde{\alpha}g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}g(\rho)\,d\rho-\zeta\right|\\
\le&|\tilde{\alpha}|(1-m)\tilde{\eta}^{-m}|g(r)-g(0)|\cdot\frac{3\tilde{\eta}}{n-2-2m}\\
&\qquad+|\tilde{\alpha}|\frac{g^{1-m}(0)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}|g(\rho)-g(0)|\,d\rho
\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

since $\tilde{\eta} \le g(r) \le 3\tilde{\eta}$ for $r \in [0, \varepsilon]$. Since $[g]_{\delta_0,[0,\varepsilon]} \le \tilde{\eta}$ for $(g, h) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$, the right hand side of (2.22) is bounded above by

$$\le \frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|(1-m)3\tilde{\eta}^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} r^{\delta_0} + \frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{1-m}\tilde{\eta}}{n-2-2m} r^{\delta_0} \le \frac{2|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}} \quad \forall 0 < r \le \varepsilon. \tag{2.23}$$

By (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), we deduce uniform convergence in (2.19) for any $(g, h) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$. By (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), for any $\eta > 0$, $(g, h) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$, $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{\delta_1} \left|\Phi_2(g,h)(r) + \zeta r^{-\delta_1}\right| &= \left| \frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{\tilde{\alpha} g(\rho) + \tilde{\beta}\rho h(\rho)\right\} d\rho - \zeta \right| \\ &\le \left\{ \frac{2|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \frac{|\tilde{\beta}|(\eta/2 + |\zeta|)}{m\,(n-2\delta_1)} \left(\frac{3\eta}{2}\right)^{1-m} \right\} \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}} \le \tilde{\eta}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently small. Hence by (2.18) and (2.24), for any $\eta > 0$, $\Phi(\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently small.

Now we will show that $\Phi\big|_{\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}}$ is a contraction map if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently small. Let $(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ and $\delta := \|(g_1, h_1) - (g_2, h_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}'_\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi_1(g_1, h_1) - \Phi_1(g_2, h_2)\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} + \left[\Phi_1(g_1, h_1) - \Phi_1(g_2, h_2)\right]_{\delta_0,[0,\varepsilon]} \\ &= \max_{0\le r\le\varepsilon} \left| \int_0^r \{h_1(\rho) - h_2(\rho)\}\, d\rho \right| + \sup_{0\le r<\varepsilon,\, 0<s\le\varepsilon-r} s^{-\delta_0} \left| \int_r^{r+s} \{h_1(\rho) - h_2(\rho)\}\, d\rho \right| \\ &\le \left(\int_0^r \rho^{-\delta_1} d\rho + \sup_{0\le r<\varepsilon,\, 0<s\le\varepsilon-r} s^{-\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}} \int_r^{r+s} \rho^{-\delta_1} d\rho \right) \delta \\ &= \left[\frac{r^{1-\delta_1}}{1-\delta_1} + \sup_{0\le r<\varepsilon,\, 0<s\le\varepsilon-r} \frac{s^{\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}}}{1-\delta_1} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{s} + 1\right)^{1-\delta_1} - \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{1-\delta_1} \right\} \right] \delta \\ &\le \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1-\delta_1}}{1-\delta_1} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1-\delta_1}{2}}}{1-\delta_1} \right) \delta \le \frac{2\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}}{1-\delta_1} \delta \le \frac{\delta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and for any $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} &r^{\delta_1} |\Phi_2(g_1, h_1) - \Phi_2(g_2, h_2)|(r) \\ &= \Bigg| \frac{g_1^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{\tilde{\alpha} g_1(\rho) + \tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)\right\} d\rho \\ &\qquad - \frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{\tilde{\alpha} g_2(\rho) + \tilde{\beta}\rho h_2(\rho)\right\} d\rho \Bigg| \\ &\le \frac{|g_1^{1-m}(r) - g_2^{1-m}(r)|}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{|\tilde{\alpha}| g_1(\rho) + |\tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)|\right\} d\rho \\ &\qquad + \frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{|\tilde{\alpha}||g_1(\rho) - g_2(\rho)| + |\tilde{\beta}|\rho|h_1(\rho) - h_2(\rho)|\right\} d\rho \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta/2 \le g_1, g_2 \le 3\eta/2$ in $[0,\varepsilon]$, by the mean value theorem

$$I_1 \le \frac{(1-m)|g_1(r)-g_2(r)|}{m(\eta/2)^m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{|\tilde{\alpha}|g_1(\rho) + |\tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)|\right\} d\rho. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $g_1(0) = g_2(0) = \eta$,

$$\|g_1 - g_2\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])} \le \sup_{0\le r\le\varepsilon} r^{\delta_0}[g_1 - g_2]_{\delta_0,[0,r]} \le \varepsilon^{\delta_0}\delta. \tag{2.26}$$

Hence it follows from (2.20), (2.22), (2.23), (2.25) and (2.26), that for $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \le& \frac{(1-m)|g_1(r)-g_2(r)|}{(\eta/2)^m g_1^{1-m}(r)} \cdot \frac{g_1^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left(|\tilde{\alpha}|g_1(\rho) + |\tilde{\beta}\rho h_1(\rho)|\right) d\rho\\
\le& \frac{(1-m)|g_1(r)-g_2(r)|}{(\eta/2)^m g_1^{1-m}(r)} \cdot \left[|\zeta| + \left\{\frac{2|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \frac{|\tilde{\beta}|(\eta+|\zeta|)}{m(n-2\delta_1)}\left(\frac{3\eta}{2}\right)^{1-m}\right\} r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}\right]\\
\le& \frac{2(1-m)}{\eta}\left[\frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \left\{\frac{2|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \frac{|\tilde{\beta}|(\eta+|\zeta|)}{m(n-2\delta_1)}\left(\frac{3\eta}{2}\right)^{1-m}\right\}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}\right]\\
&\qquad \cdot \|g_1 - g_2\|_{L^\infty([0,\varepsilon])}\\
\le& \frac{2(1-m)}{\eta}\left[\frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \left\{\frac{2|\tilde{\alpha}|\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} + \frac{|\tilde{\beta}|(\eta+|\zeta|)}{m(n-2\delta_1)}\left(\frac{3\eta}{2}\right)^{1-m}\right\}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}\right]\varepsilon^{\delta_0}\delta
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le \delta \cdot \frac{g_2^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \left\{|\tilde{\alpha}|\rho^{\delta_0} + |\tilde{\beta}|\rho^{1-\delta_1}\right\} d\rho\\
&\le \left(\frac{3\eta}{2}\right)^{1-m} \cdot \frac{|\tilde{\alpha}| + |\tilde{\beta}|}{n-2-2m}\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2-nm}{2m}}\delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

By (2.27) and (2.28), for any $\eta > 0$, there exists sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that for $0 < r \le \varepsilon$,

$$I_1 \le \frac{1}{4}\delta \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 \le \frac{1}{4}\delta.$$

Thus by choosing sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, the map Φ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ with a Lipschitz constant which is less than $1/2$. Hence by the contraction map theorem there exists a unique fixed point $(g,h) = \Phi(g,h)$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2, g belongs to $C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$. By (2.19), (2.16) holds.

Finally we observe that if $\tilde{g} \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$ which satisfies (2.16), then $(\tilde{g},\tilde{g}') \in \mathcal{D}'_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then uniqueness of a solution of (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$ satisfying (2.16) follows from the contraction map theorem. □

Now we are ready to prove the global existence of radially symmetric singular solution of (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ and $\tilde{\beta} > 0$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\tilde{\alpha} > 0, \tilde{\beta} > 0$, $\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \le \frac{n-2}{m}$ and $\eta > 0$.*

(a) If $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n+1}$*, then there exists a unique solution* $g \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ *of* (2.7) *in* $(0,\infty)$ *which satisfies* (2.10).

(b) If $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \le m < \frac{n-2}{n}$*, then there exists a unique solution* $g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ *of* (2.7) *in* $(0,\infty)$ *which satisfies* (2.16) *where* δ_0 *and* δ_1 *are given by* (2.17).

Moreover the function

$$w_1(r) := r^2 g^{2\tilde{k}}(r)$$

satisfies $w_1'(r) > 0$ *for* $r > 0$, *where* $\tilde{k} := \tilde{\beta}/\tilde{\alpha}$.

Proof. We first consider the case $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n+1}$. By Lemma 2.2 there exits a unique solution $g \in C^1([0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R})$ of (2.7) in $(0,\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, which satisfies (2.10). Let $(0,R_0)$ be the maximal interval of existence of solution $g \in C^1([0,R_0);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,R_0);\mathbb{R})$ of (2.7) satisfying (2.10). We claim that $R_0 = \infty$. Suppose to the contrary that $R_0 < \infty$. Then there is a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $r_i < R_0$, $r_i \nearrow R_0$ as $i \to \infty$, and either

$$|g'(r_i)| \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

or

$$g(r_i) \to 0 \quad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

or

$$g(r_i) \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

holds. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & g'(r) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1'(r) = 2rg^{2\tilde{k}-1}(r)(g(r) + \tilde{k}rg'(r)) > 0 \quad \forall r \in (0,R_0) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & r^2 g^{2\tilde{k}}(r) = w_1(r) > w_1(R_0/2) > 0 \qquad \forall r \in (R_0/2, R_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$0 < \left\{R_0^{-2} w_1(R_0/2)\right\}^{1/(2\tilde{k})} < g(r) < g(0) = \eta \quad \forall r \in (R_0/2, R_0).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 again, we have

$$-\frac{2g(0)}{R_0} < -\frac{g(r)}{r} < \tilde{k}g'(r) < 0 \quad \forall r \in (R_0/2, R_0).$$

Thus contradiction arises. Hence we conclude that $R_0 = \infty$. Hence there exists a unique solution $g \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ of (2.7) in $(0,\infty)$ satisfying (2.10). From Lemma 2.1, it follows that $w_1'(r) > 0$ for any $r > 0$.

When $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \le m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, since (2.16) implies (2.8), a similar argument as above using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 implies the existence and uniqueness of a global solution $g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ of (2.7) in $(0,\infty)$, which satisfies (2.16) and $w_1'(r) > 0$ for any $r > 0$. □

Under the assumption that $0 < \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} < \frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)}$, we will now prove the decay rate of the solution of (2.7) in $(0,\infty)$ which satisfies (2.8) as $r \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $n\ge 3$, $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $\tilde\alpha>0,\tilde\beta>0$ *and* $\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}<\frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)}$. *Let* $g\in C([0,\infty);\mathbb{R})\cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ *be a solution of* (2.7) *in* $(0,\infty)$ *satisfying* (2.8). *Then there exists a constant* $A>0$ *such that* (2.4) *holds.*

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.6 of [Hs2] to prove this proposition. Let

$$\tilde q(r):=r^{\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}g(r),\quad\text{and}\quad \tilde k=\frac{\tilde\beta}{\tilde\alpha}.\tag{2.29}$$

Note that $\frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)}<\frac{n-2}{m}$. According to Lemma 2.1,

$$\tilde q'(r)=\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}r^{\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}-1}\left\{g(r)+\tilde k r g'(r)\right\}>0,\quad \forall r>0.$$

By direct computation,

$$\left(\frac{\tilde q'}{\tilde q}\right)'+\frac{1}{r}\left(n-1-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}\right)\frac{\tilde q'}{\tilde q}+m\left(\frac{\tilde q'}{\tilde q}\right)^2+\frac{\tilde\beta}{m}\,r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}-1}\,\frac{\tilde q'}{\tilde q^m}=\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}\cdot\frac{n-2-(m/\tilde k)}{r^2}.\tag{2.30}$$

Let

$$h_2(r)=\exp\left(\frac{\tilde\beta}{m}\int_1^r\rho^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}-1}\tilde q^{1-m}(\rho)\,d\rho\right)\quad \forall r>1.$$

Then $h_2'(r)=\frac{\tilde\beta}{m}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}-1}\tilde q^{1-m}(r)h_2(r)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}h_2(r)&\ge\exp\left(\frac{\tilde\beta\,\tilde q^{1-m}(1)}{m}\int_1^r\rho^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}-1}d\rho\right)\\&=\exp\left(\frac{\tilde\beta\,\tilde q^{1-m}(1)(r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}-1)}{n-2-nm-m(1-m)\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\right)\quad\forall r>1\end{aligned}$$

which diverges exponentially to infinity as $r\to\infty$ since $\tilde\beta>0$ and $\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}<\frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)}$. Let $c_1:=\tilde q^{m-1}(1)\tilde q'(1)h_2(1)$, and $c_2:=\frac{\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}(n-2-(m/\tilde k))$. Then $c_2>0$. Multiplying (2.30) by $r^{n-1-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(r)h_2(r)$ and integrating over $(1,r)$, we have

$$r^{n-1-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(r)h_2(r)\frac{\tilde q'(r)}{\tilde q(r)}=c_1+c_2\int_1^r\rho^{n-3-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(\rho)h_2(\rho)d\rho,\quad\forall r>1.$$

Let $p>0$ be a constant to be chosen later. By the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{r\to\infty}r^p\frac{\tilde q'(r)}{\tilde q(r)}&=\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{c_1+c_2\int_1^r\rho^{n-3-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(\rho)h_2(\rho)d\rho}{r^{n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(r)h_2(r)}\\&\le\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{c_2r^{n-3-\frac{2m\tilde\alpha}{\tilde\beta}}\,\tilde q^m(r)h_2(r)}{F(r)}\end{aligned}\tag{2.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) =& \frac{d}{dr}\left\{r^{n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^m(r)h_2(r)\right\} \\ =& \left(n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)r^{n-p-2-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^m(r)h_2(r)+mr^{n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^{m-1}(r)\tilde{q}'(r)h_2(r) \\ &\qquad +r^{n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^m(r)h_2'(r) \\ \geq& \left(n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)r^{n-p-2-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^m(r)h_2(r)+\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{m}r^{n-p-2+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1+m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}(r)h_2(r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Let $c_0 := \left(n-p-1-\frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)$. By (2.31) and (2.32),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^p\tilde{q}'(r)}{\tilde{q}(r)} &\leq \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{c_2}{c_0r^{1-p}+(\tilde{\beta}/m)r^{1-p+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^{1-m}(r)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{c_2}{c_0r^{1-p}+(\tilde{\beta}/m)r^{1-p+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}}\tilde{q}^{1-m}(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Since $\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} < \frac{n-2-nm}{m\,(1-m)}$,

$$1+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} > 1.$$

Hence it follows from (2.33) that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^p\tilde{q}'(r)}{\tilde{q}(r)} = 0 \quad \forall 1 < p < 1+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}. \tag{2.34}$$

Let $p_0 := 1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\right)$. Then by (2.34),

$$|\log\tilde{q}(r)-\log\tilde{q}(1)| \leq C_1\int_1^r \rho^{-p_0}d\rho \leq C_2, \quad \forall r > 1$$

for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$. Hence

$$\tilde{q}(1) \leq \tilde{q}(r) \leq e^{C_2}\,\tilde{q}(1), \quad \forall r \geq 1.$$

Then the monotonicity of $\tilde{q}$ implies that $\lim_{r\to\infty}\tilde{q}(r) = A$ for some constant $A > 0$ and the proposition follows. □

Corollary 2.6. *Let* $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\tilde{\alpha} > 0, \tilde{\beta} > 0$, $\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} < \frac{n-2-nm}{m\,(1-m)}$ *and* $\eta > 0$. *Let* g *be the solution of* (2.7) *in* $(0,\infty)$ *given by Theorem 2.4 which satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} g \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) & \text{if} \quad 0 < m < \dfrac{n-2}{n+1} \\ g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) & \text{if} \quad \dfrac{n-2}{n+1} \leq m < \dfrac{n-2}{n} \end{cases} \tag{2.35}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} g(0) = \eta, \quad g_r(0) = 0 & \text{if} \quad 0 < m < \dfrac{n-2}{n+1} \\ g(0) = \eta, \quad \displaystyle\lim_{r \to 0^+} r^{\delta_1} g_r(r) = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} & \text{if} \quad \dfrac{n-2}{n+1} \le m < \dfrac{n-2}{n} \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

where δ_0 *and* δ_1 *are given by* (2.17). *Then there exists a constant* $A > 0$ *such that* (2.4) *holds.*

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 2.5 since (2.36) implies (2.8). □

3. SINGULAR SELF-SIMILAR PROFILES

In this section we will use the inversion formula (2.1) to prove the existence of radially symmetric solution of (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which has singular behavior at the origin of the form (1.8) and decreases to zero at infinity.

Lemma 3.1 (Existence)**.** *Let* $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$. *Suppose* α, β, ρ_1 *satisfy* (1.9). *Then for any* $A > 0$ *there exists a radially symmetric solution* f *of* (1.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ *which satisfies* (1.8) *and* (1.10) *for some constant* $D_A > 0$ *depending on* A. *Moreover* (1.11) *holds.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ be given by (2.3). Then (2.5) and (2.6) hold. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a unique radially symmetric solution g of (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (2.35) and (2.36) with $\eta = 1$, where $r = |x|$ and δ_0, δ_1 are given by (2.17). It follows from Corollary 2.6 that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}} g(r) = A_0 \tag{3.1}$$

for some constant $A_0 > 0$. Let

$$f(r) := r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} g(r^{-1}), \quad r = |x| > 0.$$

By (2.2), (2.36) and (3.1), f is a radially symmetric solution to (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f(r) = A_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r) = 1.$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, let

$$\tilde{f}_\lambda(x) := \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f(\lambda x).$$

Then $\tilde{f}_\lambda$ satisfies (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\lim_{r \to 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \tilde{f}_\lambda(r) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (\lambda r)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f(\lambda r) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} A_0 \\ \displaystyle\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} \tilde{f}_\lambda(r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} (\lambda r)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(\lambda r) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}}. \end{cases}$$

For a given $A > 0$, let $\lambda := (A/A_0)^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})}$. Then $\tilde{f}_\lambda$ satisfies (1.8) and (1.10) with $D_A = (A/A_0)^{(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m})/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha f(r) + \beta r f_r(r) &= \beta\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}f(r) + r f_r(r)\right\}\\ &= \beta r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{n-2}{m}\right)g(r^{-1}) - r^{-1}g'(r^{-1})\right\}\\ &> \beta r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{n-2}{m}\right)g(r^{-1}) + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}g(r^{-1})\right\} = 0, \quad \forall r > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{f}_\lambda$ satisfies (1.11) and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$. Suppose α, β, ρ_1 satisfy* (1.9). *Let f be a radially symmetric solution of* (1.7) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(x) = \eta \tag{3.2}$$

for some constant $\eta > 0$. Then f satisfies (1.11).

Proof. Let $q(r) := r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} f(r)$, where $r = |x| > 0$. Then

$$q'(r) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1}\left\{f(r) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} r f'(r)\right\} \quad \forall r > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

A direct computation implies

$$\left(\frac{q'}{q}\right)' + \frac{1}{r}\left(n - 1 - \frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}\right)\frac{q'}{q} + m\left(\frac{q'}{q}\right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{m} r^{1-(1-m)\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\frac{q'}{q^m} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\cdot\frac{n-2-(m/k)}{r^2} \tag{3.4}$$

where $k := \beta/\alpha$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let

$$h(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{m}\int_\varepsilon^r \rho^{1-(1-m)\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} q^{1-m}(\rho)\,d\rho\right) \quad \forall r > \varepsilon.$$

Since $\beta < 0$, $h(r)$ is a decreasing function of $r > \varepsilon$. By (3.2), there exists a constant $r_0 > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\eta}{2} \leq r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r) \leq 2\eta && \forall r = |x| > r_0,\\ \Rightarrow\quad &\frac{\eta}{2} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\frac{n-2}{m}} \leq q(r) \leq 2\eta r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\frac{n-2}{m}} && \forall r > r_0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to\infty} h(r) &\geq \lim_{r\to\infty}\exp\left(\frac{\beta}{m}\int_\varepsilon^{r_0}\rho^{1-(1-m)\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}q^{1-m}(\rho)\,d\rho + \frac{\beta}{m}(2\eta)^{1-m}\int_{r_0}^r \rho^{1-(1-m)\frac{n-2}{m}}\,d\rho\right)\\ &= C\exp\left(\frac{\beta}{m}\int_\varepsilon^{r_0}\rho^{1-(1-m)\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}q^{1-m}(\rho)\,d\rho\right) > 0\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ since $2 - \frac{(1-m)(n-2)}{m} < 0$.

Let $c_1 := \varepsilon^{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^{m-1}(\varepsilon)q'(\varepsilon)$, and $c_2 := \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(n-2-\frac{m}{k}\right)$. Note that $c_2 > 0$. We multiply (3.4) by $r^{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(r)h(r)$ and integrate over (ε, r) to have

$$Q(r) := r^{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(r)h(r)\frac{q'(r)}{q(r)} = c_1 + c_2\int_\varepsilon^r \rho^{n-3-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho \quad \forall r > \varepsilon > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Since h is positive for $r > \varepsilon$, and $\|h\|_{L^\infty([\varepsilon,\infty])} < \infty$, by (3.5),

$$\int_\varepsilon^r \rho^{n-3-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho \le \int_\varepsilon^{r_0} \rho^{n-3-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho + C\int_{r_0}^\infty \rho^{-1-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}d\rho < \infty$$

holds for any $r > \varepsilon$ and some constant $C > 0$. Hence the monotone increasing function $Q(r)$ is bounded above in (ε, ∞). Thus $\lim_{r\to\infty} Q(r)$ exists.

Now we claim that $\lim_{r\to\infty} Q(r) \le 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} Q(r) > 0$. Then by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.6),

$$0 < \lim_{r\to\infty} r^{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha}{\beta}} q^m(r)\frac{q'(r)}{q(r)} = \eta^m \lim_{r\to\infty} r^{-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{rf'(r)}{f(r)}\right\} = \eta^m \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^{1-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}f'(r)}{f(r)}.$$

Thus there exist constants $c_0 > 0$ and $r_1 > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \ge \frac{c_0}{\eta^m} r^{-1+\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}} && \forall r > r_1\\ \Rightarrow\quad &\log f(r) \ge \log f(r_1) + \frac{c_0\beta}{\eta^m m\alpha}\left(r^{\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}} - r_1^{\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}\right) && \forall r > r_1 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.2). Hence $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} Q(r) \le 0$. Since by (3.7) $Q(r)$ is a strictly monotone increasing function of $r > \varepsilon$, it follows that $Q(r) < 0$ for any $r > \varepsilon$. Thus $q'(r) < 0$ for any $r > 0$ since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is arbitrary. This together with (3.3) implies that f satisfies (1.11). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$. *Suppose* α, β, ρ_1 *satisfy* (1.9). *Let* f *be a radially symmetric solution of* (1.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ *satisfying* (1.8) *and* (3.2) *for some constants* $A > 0$ *and* $\eta > 0$. *Let* g, $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$, δ_0, δ_1 *be given by* (2.1), (2.3) *and* (2.17) *respectively. Then* g *satisfies* (2.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, (2.4), (2.35) *and* (2.36).

Proof. Let $g(0) = \lim_{r\to 0^+} g(r)$. Then by (3.2) $g(0) = \eta$. By direct computation $g \in C([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ satisfies (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and by (1.8), (2.4) holds. We next prove that $rg'(r) \in L^\infty((0,\infty))$. By (2.5) and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}g(r) + rg'(r) &= r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left\{\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} - \frac{n-2}{m}\right)f(r^{-1}) - r^{-1}f'(r^{-1})\right\}\\ &= -r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}f(r^{-1}) + r^{-1}f'(r^{-1})\right\} > 0 \qquad \forall r > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By (2.2) and (3.8),

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\left(r^{n-1}(g^m)'\right)' = -r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-2}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(r) + \tilde{\beta}rg'(r)\right\} < 0 \quad \forall r > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Hence $r^{n-1}(g^m)'(r)$ is decreasing in $r>0$. We now claim that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r^{n-1}(g^m(r))' \le 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Suppose the claim is not true. Then there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that

$$r^{n-1}(g^m)'(r)\ge\delta \quad \forall 0<r<\delta.$$

Hence there exists a constant $\tilde{\delta}>0$ such that $g'(r)\ge\tilde{\delta}\,r^{1-n}$ for $0<r<\delta$ since $g(0)=\eta>0$. This implies that

$$g(\delta)-g(r)\ge\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{n-2}\left(r^{2-n}-\delta^{2-n}\right) \quad \forall 0<r<\delta,$$

which diverges to infinity as $r\to 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence the claim (3.10) holds. Then by (3.9) and (3.10),

$$r^{n-1}(g^m)'(r)<0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad g'(r)<0 \quad \forall r>0.$$

Hence by (3.8),

$$-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\,g(0)<-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\,g(r)<rg'(r)<0 \quad \forall r>0. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus $rg'(r)\in L^\infty((0,\infty))$. Now we claim that

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r)=0. \tag{3.12}$$

In order to prove (3.12), we note that by (3.11),

$$\lim_{r\to 0}|r^{n-1}(g^m)'(r)|=mg^{m-1}(0)\lim_{r\to 0}|r^{n-1}g'(r)|\le\frac{m\tilde{\alpha}g^m(0)}{\tilde{\beta}}\cdot\lim_{r\to 0}r^{n-2}=0$$

and hence by (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}(g^m(r))' &= -\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho g'(\rho)\right\}d\rho \quad \forall r>0\\ \Rightarrow\quad g'(r) &= -\frac{g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}g(\rho)+\tilde{\beta}\rho g'(\rho)\right\}d\rho \quad \forall r>0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Thus by (3.11) and (3.13),

$$\limsup_{r\to 0}\left|rg'(r)\right|\le\frac{2\tilde{\alpha}g^{2-m}(0)}{m}\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}\,d\rho}{r^{n-2}}=\frac{2\tilde{\alpha}g^{2-m}(0)}{n-2-2m}\lim_{r\to 0}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}=0$$

and (3.12) follows.

Now we are ready to prove (2.36). Since $g\in C([0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$ and $g(0)=\eta$, by (3.12) for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$\eta/2\le g(r)\le 2\eta, \quad\text{and}\quad |rg'(r)|<\varepsilon \quad \forall 0<r<\delta. \tag{3.14}$$

Then by (3.13) and (3.14),

$$\left|g'(r)+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}g^{1-m}(r)}{mr^{n-1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}g(\rho)d\rho\right|\le\frac{\varepsilon\tilde{\beta}(2\eta)^{1-m}}{n-2-2m}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1} \quad \forall 0<r<\delta. \tag{3.15}$$

If $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n+1}$, (3.15) implies that

$$\lim_{r\to0} g'(r) = -\lim_{r\to0} \frac{\tilde{\alpha} g^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} g(\rho)d\rho = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m} \lim_{r\to0} r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}-1} = 0.$$

Then g' can be extended to a continuous function on $[0,\infty)$ by setting $g'(0) = 0$. If $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \le m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, then by (3.15),

$$\lim_{r\to0} r^{\delta_1} g'(r) = -\lim_{r\to0} \frac{\tilde{\alpha} g^{1-m}(r)}{m r^{n-1-\delta_1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3+\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} g(\rho)d\rho = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m}$$

which implies that $r^{\delta_1} g'(r)$ can be extended to a continuous function $\tilde{h}$ (say) on $[0,\infty)$ by setting $\tilde{h}(r) = r^{\delta_1} g'(r)$ for any $r > 0$ and

$$\tilde{h}(0) = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m}.$$

Then (2.36) holds and

$$g(r) = \eta + \int_0^r \rho^{-\delta_1} \tilde{h}(\rho)\, d\rho.$$

Hence for any $s > 0$, $0 < r \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(r+s) - g(s)| = &\left|\int_s^{r+s} \rho^{-\delta_1} \tilde{h}(\rho)\, d\rho\right| \le C \left|\int_s^{r+s} \rho^{-\delta_1}\, d\rho\right| \\
\le &C \left|(r+s)^{1-\delta_1} - s^{1-\delta_1}\right| \\
\le &C r^{1-\delta_1} \left|\left(1+\frac{s}{r}\right)^{1-\delta_1} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{1-\delta_1}\right| \\
\le &C r^{1-\delta_1} \\
\le &C r^{\delta_0}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a generic constant. Thus (2.35) holds. □

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For any $A > 0$, existence of a radially symmetric solution f of (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies (1.8) and (1.10) follows from Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 3.2 f satisfies (1.11). In order to prove uniqueness, we let g, $\tilde{\alpha}$, and $\tilde{\beta}$ be given by (2.1) and (2.3) respectively. By Lemma 3.3, g satisfies (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, (2.4), (2.35), and (2.36). Then Theorem 2.4 yields that such g is unique. Hence the uniqueness of f follows. □

Corollary 3.4. *Under the same assumption as Theorem 1.1, for any* $A > 0$, *let* f *be the unique radially symmetric solution of* (1.7) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ *which satisfies* (1.8) *and* (1.10) *for some constant* $D_A > 0$ *depending on* A. *Then the following holds:*

(i) $\lim_{r\to0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} f'(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} A$

(ii) $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}+1} f'(r) = -\frac{n-2}{m} D_A$.

Proof. Let g, $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$ be given by (2.1) and (2.3) respectively. By Lemma 3.3, g satisfies (2.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, (2.4), (2.35), and (2.36). Let $\tilde{q}$ be given by (2.29). By (2.6) and the proof of Proposition 2.5, (2.34) holds since (2.36) implies (2.8). Hence by (2.4), (2.34), and (3.8), for any $1 < p < 1 + \frac{n-2-nm}{m} - (1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}$,

$$0 = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^p \cdot r^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}-1}\left\{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} g(r) + r g'(r)\right\}}{r^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}} g(r)} = -\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^{p-1} \cdot r^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} f(r^{-1}) + r^{-1} f'(r^{-1})\right\}}{A}.$$

Then it follows that

$$0 = \lim_{r\to 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} f(r) + r f'(r)\right\} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} A + \lim_{r\to 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} f'(r).$$

By (2.36),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \lim_{r\to 0^+} r g'(r) &= -\lim_{r\to 0^+} \left\{\frac{n-2}{m} r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r^{-1}) + r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}-1} f'(r^{-1})\right\} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{m} D_A - \lim_{r\to\infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}+1} f'(r), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. ◻

Remark 3.5 (Monotonicity and Comparison)**.** *Let* α, β *and* ρ_1 *satisfy* (1.9) *and* f_λ *be as in Remark 1.2. Then by Lemma 3.2 for any* $r = |x| > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} f_\lambda(r) &= \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-1}\left\{\frac{2}{1-m} f_1(\lambda r) + (\lambda r) f_1'(\lambda r)\right\} \\ &< \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-1}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} f_1(\lambda r) + (\lambda r) f_1'(\lambda r)\right\} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, *there exists a constant* $0 < c_0 < 1$ *such that*

$$c_0 f_{\lambda_2}(r) \le f_{\lambda_1}(r) < f_{\lambda_2}(r) \quad \forall r > 0$$

since $\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{f_{\lambda_1}(r)}{f_{\lambda_2}(r)} > 0$ *and* $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{f_{\lambda_1}(r)}{f_{\lambda_2}(r)} > 0$.

4. Existence and asymptotic behavior of singular solutions

Let $n \ge 3$ and $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$. Let $\rho_1 = 1$ and α, β satisfy (1.9). For any $\lambda > 0$ we let f_λ be as in Remark 1.2 and U_λ be given by (1.14) for the rest of the paper. This section will be devoted to the study of singular solutions of (1.3) trapped in between two self-similar solutions $U_{\lambda_1}, U_{\lambda_2}$ of the form (1.14) for some constants $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$. For our convergence, we will restrict ourselves to the case $\frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < n$, which guarantees the integrability of singular solutions of (1.3) near the origin.

4.1. **Existence.** We will first prove Theorem 1.3 which is a weighted L^1-contraction principle with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$ for $\mu \in (\mu_1, \mu_2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: We choose $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$, and $\eta = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$. For $R > 2$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\eta_R(x) := \eta(x/R)$, $\eta_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x/\varepsilon)$, and $\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x) = \eta_R(x) - \eta_\varepsilon(x)$. Then $|\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|^2 + |\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}| \le C\varepsilon^{-2}$ for $\varepsilon \le |x| \le 2\varepsilon$, and $|\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|^2 + |\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}| \le CR^{-2}$ for $R \le |x| \le 2R$. By Kato's inequality [K],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u - v| \le \Delta|u^m - v^m| \quad \text{in } \mathscr{D}'\left((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)\right). \tag{4.1}$$

Multiplying (4.1) by $\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u-v|(x,t)\,\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u^m - v^m|(x,t)\,\Delta\left(\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}\right)dx\\ =\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u^m - v^m|(x,t)&\left\{|x|^{-\mu}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R} + 2\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|x|^{-\mu} + \eta_{\varepsilon,R}\Delta|x|^{-\mu}\right\}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \mu < \mu_2 < n-2$,

$$\Delta|x|^{-\mu} = \mu\{\mu - (n-2)\}\,|x|^{-\mu-2} < 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u-v|(x,t)\,\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}dx \le& CR^{-2-\mu}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} a(x,t)\,|u-v|(x,t)\,dx\\ &+ C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu}\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} a(x,t)\,|u-v|(x,t)\,dx\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$a(x,t) := \int_0^1 \frac{m\,ds}{\{su + (1-s)v\}^{1-m}} \le mU_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x,t), \quad \forall (x,t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$$

by (1.17). It follows from (1.17) and (4.3) that for $R > 2$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u-v|(x,t)\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}dx\\ \le& CR^{-2-\mu}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}U_{\lambda_2}(x,t)\,dx + C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu}\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}U_{\lambda_2}(x,t)\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By Remark 3.5 for any $t > 0$ and $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}U_{\lambda_2}\,dx\,ds =& \int_0^t\int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} s^{-m\alpha} f_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(s^{-\beta}x)f_{\lambda_2}(s^{-\beta}x)\,dx\,ds\\ =& \int_0^t s^{-m\alpha+n\beta}\int_{B_{2s^{-\beta}r}\setminus B_{s^{-\beta}r}} f_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(y)f_{\lambda_2}(y)\,dy\,ds\\ \le& c_0^{m-1}\int_0^t s^{-m\alpha+n\beta}\int_{B_{2s^{-\beta}r}\setminus B_{s^{-\beta}r}} f_{\lambda_2}^{m}(y)\,dy\,ds\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is as given in Remark 3.5. Since $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{n-2}{m}$, by (1.8) and (1.10), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f_{\lambda_2}(r) \le C \min\left(r^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}, r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\right)$ for $r > 0$. Hence by (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1} U_{\lambda_2}\, dx\, ds\\
\le& C \int_0^t s^{-m\alpha+n\beta} \int_{B_{2s^{-\beta}r}\setminus B_{s^{-\beta}r}} \min\left(|y|^{-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}, |y|^{-(n-2)}\right) dy\, ds\\
\le& C \int_0^t s^{-m\alpha+n\beta} \min\left\{(s^{-\beta}r)^{n-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}, (s^{-\beta}r)^2\right\} ds\\
\le& \begin{cases} C\, t\, r^{n-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}} & \text{if } t^{-\beta}r \le 1\\ Cr^{n-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta}} + Cr^2 \displaystyle\int_{r^{1/\beta}}^t s^{(n-2)\beta-m\alpha} ds & \text{if } t^{-\beta}r > 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\alpha = \frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$,

$$(n-2)\beta - m\alpha + 1 = n\beta - \alpha.$$

If $t^{-\beta}r > 1$, then

$$\int_{r^{1/\beta}}^t s^{(n-2)\beta-m\alpha}\, ds = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\alpha-n\beta}\left(r^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} - t^{n\beta-\alpha}\right) & \text{if } n\beta < \alpha\\ \log(t\, r^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}) & \text{if } n\beta = \alpha\\ \dfrac{1}{n\beta-\alpha}\left(t^{n\beta-\alpha} - r^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\right) & \text{if } n\beta > \alpha \end{cases}$$

and hence by (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1} U_{\lambda_2}\, dx\, ds\\
\le& \begin{cases} C\, t\, r^{n-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}} & \text{if } t^{-\beta}r \le 1\\ Cr^{n+2-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} + Cr^2\left\{r^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} + \log(t\, r^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}) + t^{n\beta-\alpha}\right\} & \text{if } t^{-\beta}r > 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.4) and (4.7), for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u-v|(x,t)\, \eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0 - v_0|(x)\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(x)|x|^{-\mu} dx\\
\le& C_t\left(R^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\mu} + R^{-\mu}\log R + R^{-\mu} + \varepsilon^{n-2-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}-\mu}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for sufficiently large $R > 2$ and small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $R \to \infty$ in (4.8), (1.18) follows from the choice of $\mu \in (\mu_1, \mu_2)$. By a similar argument as above, (1.19) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Note that $\alpha = \beta\gamma$, $u_0 \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})$, and (1.15) is equivalent to

$$U_{\lambda_1}(x,0) \le u_0(x) \le U_{\lambda_2}(x,0), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Uniqueness of solution of (1.3) satisfying (1.20) then follows from Theorem 1.3. We next observe that by (1.13) f_{λ_i} satisfies

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}f_{\lambda_i}(x)=A_i\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{|x|\to\infty}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}}f_{\lambda_i}(x)=\overline{D}_i \tag{4.9}$$

where $A_i=\lambda_i^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$ and $\overline{D}_i=\lambda_i^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}D_1>0$. By Theorem 2.2 of [Hui2] combined with (1.15) there exists a unique solution $u_R\in C(\overline{\mathcal{A}_R}\times(0,\infty))\cap C^\infty(\mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty))$ of

$$\begin{cases} u_t=\Delta u^m & \text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty),\\ u=U_{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty),\\ u(\cdot,0)=u_0 & \text{in } \mathcal{A}_R,\end{cases}$$

which satisfies (1.1) in $\mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty)$ in the classical sense,

$$\|u_R(\cdot,t)-u_0\|_{L^1(\mathcal{A}_R)}\to 0\quad\text{as } t\to 0,$$

and

$$U_{\lambda_1}\le u_R\le U_{\lambda_2}\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty). \tag{4.10}$$

Since f_{λ_1} satisfies (1.11),

$$\partial_t U_{\lambda_1}=\Delta U_{\lambda_1}^m=t^{-(m\alpha+2\beta)}\Delta f_{\lambda_1}^m(t^{-\beta}x)<0,\quad\forall(x,t)\in\partial\mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty). \tag{4.11}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.2 of [Hui2],

$$\partial_t u_R\le\frac{u_R}{(1-m)t}\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty). \tag{4.12}$$

For sake of completeness we will give a different simple proof of (4.12) here. Let $v:=\frac{\partial_t u_R}{u_R}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}[z]:=\frac{m}{u_R}\Delta\left(u_R^m z\right)-\partial_t z=m\left\{u_R^{m-1}\Delta z+\frac{2m}{m-1}\nabla u_R^{m-1}\cdot\nabla z+vz\right\}-\partial_t z.$$

By direct computation, v satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}[v]=v^2\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(0,\infty).$$

For any $\delta\in(0,1)$, we choose $\varepsilon_\delta\in(0,\delta)$ such that

$$v(\cdot,\delta)<\frac{1+\delta}{(1-m)(\delta-\varepsilon_\delta)}\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R,$$

and define

$$w_\delta(t)=\frac{1+\delta}{(1-m)(t-\varepsilon_\delta)}\quad\forall t\ge\delta.$$

Then $w_\delta(t)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}[w_\delta]=mvw_\delta+\frac{1-m}{1+\delta}w_\delta^2\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(\delta,\infty).$$

We claim that

$$v(x,t)<w_\delta(t)\quad\text{in } \mathcal{A}_R\times(\delta,\infty). \tag{4.13}$$

By (4.11), $v < 0 < w_\delta$ on $\partial\mathcal{A}_R \times [\delta,\infty)$. Since $v < w_\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}_R \times \{\delta\}$, if (4.13) does not hold, then there exists an interior point $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathcal{A}_R \times (\delta,\infty)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v(x_0,t_0) = w_\delta(x_0,t_0) > 0, \qquad \nabla v(x_0,t_0) = \nabla w_\delta(x_0,t_0) \\ D^2 v(x_0,t_0) \le D^2 w_\delta(x_0,t_0), \qquad \partial_t v(x_0,t_0) \ge \partial_t w_\delta(x_0,t_0). \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

Then by (4.14), we deduce that at the point (x_0,t_0),

$$0 < w_\delta^2 = v^2 = \mathcal{P}[v] \le \mathcal{P}[w_\delta] = \left(m + \frac{1-m}{1+\delta}\right) w_\delta^2 < w_\delta^2$$

which is a contradiction. Thus (4.13) holds. Letting $\delta \to 0$ in (4.13), (4.12) follows since $\varepsilon_\delta \in (0,\delta)$.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a bounded open subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which contains $\overline{\Omega}$. By (4.10), for any $0 < \delta < T$, the equation for the sequence $\{u_R\}_{R>1}$ (for sufficiently large $R > 1$) is uniformly parabolic on $\tilde{\Omega} \times (\delta/2, T]$. Hence by the parabolic Schauder estimates [LSU], the sequence $\{u_R\}_{R>1}$ (for sufficiently large $R > 1$) is equi-continuous in $C^{2,1}(\Omega\times(\delta,T])$. By the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument there exists a sequence $\{u_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $R_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, such that u_{R_i} converges to some function $u \in C^{2,1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty))$ uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ as $i \to \infty$ for any compact set $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$. Then u satisfies (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$ in the classical sense, and (1.20), (1.21) follow from (4.10) and (4.12).

Now we will prove that u has initial value u_0. It suffices to prove that

$$\|u(\cdot,t) - u_0\|_{L^1(B_r(x_0))} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0$$

for any ball $B_r(x_0)$ such that $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Fix such a ball $B_r(x_0)$ with $r > 0$, and let $R_0 > 0$ be a constant such that $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \mathcal{A}_{R_0}$. By using the Kato inequality and an argument similar to the proof of [HP, Lemma 3.1] we deduce that for $R, R' > 2R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |u_{R'} - u_R|(x,t)dx\right)^{1-m} &\le \left(\int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |u_{R'} - u_R|(x,0)dx\right)^{1-m} + Cr^{n(1-m)-2}\, t \\ &= Cr^{n(1-m)-2}\, t, \quad \forall t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Letting $R' = R_i \to \infty$,

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |u - u_R|(x,t)dx \le Cr^{n-\frac{2}{1-m}}\, t^{\frac{1}{1-m}}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Hence for any $R_i > 2R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t\to 0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|dx \\ \le &\limsup_{t\to 0} \left\{\int_{B_r(x_0)} |u - u_{R_i}|(x,t)dx + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |u_{R_i}(x,t) - u_0(x)|dx\right\} \\ \le &\limsup_{t\to 0} Cr^{n-\frac{2}{1-m}}\, t^{\frac{1}{1-m}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$. Then the solution u of* (1.3) *given by Theorem 1.4 with initial value u_0 satisfying* (1.15) *for some constants $A_2 > A_1 > 0$ is a weak solution of* (1.5).

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty))$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (t_1, t_2)$ for some constants $t_2 > t_1 > 0$. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\eta_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, and let α, β be given by (1.6). Since

$$f_{\lambda_2}(x) \le C|x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, (1.20) implies that

$$u(x,t) \le U_{\lambda_2}(x,t) \le Ct^{-\alpha}|t^{-\beta}x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} = C|x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \quad \forall (x,t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty).$$

Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)} \{u^m\Delta\varphi - u\varphi_t\}\,dx\,dt\right|\\
=&\left|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)} \{u^m\Delta(\eta_\varepsilon\varphi) - u\eta_\varepsilon\varphi_t\}\,dx\,dt\right|\\
\le& C\left\{\iint_{(B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon)\times(t_1,t_2)} \varepsilon^{-2}u^m dx\,dt + \iint_{B_{2\varepsilon}\times(t_1,t_2)} (u^m + u)dx\,dt\right\}\\
\le& C(t_2 - t_1)\left(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-2}|x|^{-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}}\,dx + \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\,dx\right)\\
\le& C\left(\varepsilon^{n-2-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}} + \varepsilon^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\right) \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \gamma < n < \frac{n-2}{m}$. Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is arbitrary, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (4.15), we deduce that u solves (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ in the distributional sense.

Now we will prove that u has initial value u_0. It suffices to prove that for any $R > 0$, $\|u(\cdot,t) - u_0\|_{L^1(B_R)} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{t\to0} \int_{B_R} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|\,dx\\
\le&\limsup_{t\to0} \left\{\int_{B_\varepsilon} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|\,dx + \int_{B_R\setminus B_\varepsilon} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|\,dx\right\}\\
\le&\limsup_{t\to0} \int_{B_\varepsilon} C|x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\,dx + \limsup_{t\to0} \int_{B_R\setminus B_\varepsilon} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|\,dx\\
\le& C\varepsilon^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

since u is a solution of (1.3). Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (4.16),

$$\lim_{t\to0} \int_{B_R} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|dx = 0, \quad \forall R > 0$$

and the corollary follows. □

4.2. **Large time asymptotics.** In this subsection we will investigate the large time behavior of the solution u of (1.3) given by Theorem 1.4 with initial value u_0 which satisfies (1.15) for some constants $A_2 > A_1 > 0$. We will assume that $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$, and α, β be given by (1.6) for the rest of the paper. Notice that such u_0 is integrable near the origin and $u \in C\left([0,\infty); L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap C\left((0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$.

For any solution u of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$, let $\tilde{u}$ be the rescaled function defined by (1.22) for $\beta < 0$ and $\alpha = \frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$. Then the rescaled function $\tilde{u}$ satisfes (1.23) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ in the classical sense and $\tilde{U}_\lambda(y,\tau) = f_\lambda(y)$ for any $(y,\tau) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$. If u satisfies (1.20), then

$$f_{\lambda_1}(y) \leq \tilde{u}(y,\tau) \leq f_{\lambda_2}(y) \quad \forall (y,\tau) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$$

and in this case by the same argument as the proof of Corollary 4.1, $\tilde{u}$ is a weak solution of (1.23) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty,\infty)$ since $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$. Note that $\tilde{u}(\cdot,0) \equiv u(\cdot,1)$.

We will first prove a strong contraction principle with weight $|x|^{-\mu_1}$ for such rescaled solutions where $\mu_1 = n - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = n - \gamma > 0$. We point out that the following strong contraction principle does not hold for the difference $f_{\lambda_2} - f_{\lambda_1}$ of two self-similar profiles $f_{\lambda_1}, f_{\lambda_2}$ for $0 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ since by (4.9),

$$f_{\lambda_2} - f_{\lambda_1} \notin L^1(r^{-\mu_1}; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 4.2 (Strong contraction principle)**.** *Let* $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\beta < 0, \alpha = \frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$ *and* $\frac{2}{1-m} < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < n$. *Let* $\tilde{u}$ *and* $\tilde{v}$ *be solutions of* (1.23) *in* $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$ *with initial values* $\tilde{u}_0$ *and* $\tilde{v}_0$*, respectively, such that*

$$f_{\lambda_1} \leq \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \leq f_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty) \tag{4.17}$$

for some constants $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$. *Suppose that*

$$0 \not\equiv \tilde{u}_0 - \tilde{v}_0 \in L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1}; \mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Then

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau) - \tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)} < \|\tilde{u}_0 - \tilde{v}_0\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)} \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Proof. Let $q := |\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}|$. By the Kato inequality,

$$q_\tau \leq \Delta(\tilde{a}q) + \beta\,\mathrm{div}(yq) + (\alpha - n\beta)q \quad \text{in } \mathscr{D}'\left((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)\right), \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$m f_{\lambda_2}^{m-1}(y) \leq \tilde{a}(y,\tau) := \int_0^1 \frac{mds}{\{s\tilde{u} + (1-s)\tilde{v}\}^{1-m}} \leq m f_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \tau > 0. \tag{4.19}$$

For any $R > 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\eta_{\varepsilon,R}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Multiplying (4.18) by $\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(y)|y|^{-\mu_1}$ and integrating by parts, for any $\tau > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,\tau)\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(y)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,0)\eta_{\varepsilon,R}(y)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
\le&\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\,dy\,ds\\
&\qquad + \int_0^\tau \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1} + 2\tilde{a}\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\,dy\,ds\\
&\qquad + \int_0^\tau \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1} + 2\tilde{a}\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\,dy\,ds.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

Since $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < n-2$, by (4.2),

$$\tilde{a}\,\Delta|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)|y|^{-\mu_1} < \{\alpha + (\mu_1 - n)\beta\}\,|y|^{-\mu_1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}.\tag{4.21}$$

By (4.17), (4.19) and Remark 3.5, for any $\tau > 0$, and $R > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\tau \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1} + 2\tilde{a}\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\,dy\,ds\right|\\
\le&C\left(R^{-2-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} f_{\lambda_2}^m\,dy + R^{-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} f_{\lambda_2}\,dy\right)\tau\\
\le&C\left(R^{-2-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} (|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}})^m\,dy + R^{-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\,dy\right)\tau\\
\le&C\left(R^{-\mu_1} + R^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}-\mu_1}\right)\tau,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

which converges to zero as $R\to\infty$, and for any $\tau > 0$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\tau \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1} + 2\tilde{a}\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\,dy\,ds\right|\\
\le&C\left(\varepsilon^{-2-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} f_{\lambda_2}^m dy + \varepsilon^{-\mu_1}\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon} f_{\lambda_2} dy\right)\tau\\
\le&C\left(\varepsilon^{n-2-\frac{m\alpha}{\beta}-\mu_1} + \varepsilon^{n-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\mu_1}\right)\tau = C\left(\varepsilon^{1/|\beta|}+1\right)\tau \le C\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

Hence letting $R\to\infty$ and $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (4.20), by (4.21), (4.22), and (4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,\tau)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,0)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
\le&\limsup_{R\to\infty,\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{\tilde{a}\Delta|y|^{-\mu_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)|y|^{-\mu_1}\right\} q\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\,dy\,ds + C\tau\\
\le&C\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Since $\tilde{u}_0 - \tilde{v}_0 \in L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (4.24) $\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau) - \tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) \in L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\tau > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,s)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\,ds \le \tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q(y,0)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy + C\tau^2, \quad \forall\tau > 0.$$

Then by (4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_0^\tau\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon}\{\tilde{a}\Delta\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}+2\tilde{a}\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1}-\beta y\cdot\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon,R}|y|^{-\mu_1}\}\,q\,dy\,ds\right|\\ \le& C\left(\varepsilon^{1/|\beta|}\tau+\int_0^\tau\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_\varepsilon}q(y,s)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\,ds\right)\\ \to& 0 \qquad\qquad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0. \end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

Therefore, letting $R\to\infty$ and $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (4.20), by (4.21), (4.22), (4.25), and the assumption that $\tilde{u}_0-\tilde{v}_0\not\equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$, we deduce that for any $\tau>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}q(y,\tau)|y|^{-\mu_1}dy-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}q(y,0)|y|^{-\mu_1}dy\\ \le&\limsup_{R\to\infty,\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\{\tilde{a}\Delta|y|^{-\mu_1}-\beta y\cdot\nabla|y|^{-\mu_1}+(\alpha-n\beta)|y|^{-\mu_1}\}\,q\eta_{\varepsilon,R}\,dy\,ds<0\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.3 (cf. Lemma 1 of [OR])**.** *Let* $n\ge 3$, $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $\beta<0$, $\alpha=\frac{2\beta-1}{1-m}$, *and* $\frac{2}{1-m}<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<n$. *Let* $\tilde{u}$, $\tilde{v}$ *be solutions of* (1.23) *in* $(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times(0,\infty)$ *with initial values* $\tilde{u}_0$ *and* $\tilde{v}_0$ *respectively, which satisfy* (4.17) *for some constants* $\lambda_1>\lambda_2>0$. *Suppose that there exists a constant* $\lambda_0\in[\lambda_2,\lambda_1]$ *such that*

$$\tilde{u}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\in L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)$$

and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i)-\tilde{v}_0\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}=0\tag{4.26}$$

for some sequence $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *such that* $\tau_i\to\infty$ *as* $i\to\infty$. *Then*

$$\|\tilde{v}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\le\|\tilde{u}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}=\|\tilde{v}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\quad\forall\tau>0.\tag{4.28}$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of [OR] to prove this lemma. By the proof of Lemma 4.2 and Fatou's lemma together with (4.26),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_j)-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\le\|\tilde{u}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\qquad\forall j\in\mathbb{N}\\ \Rightarrow\quad&\|\tilde{v}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\le\|\tilde{u}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\qquad\text{as } j\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

and (4.27) holds. Then the proof of Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\|\tilde{v}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}\quad\forall\tau>0.\tag{4.29}$$

By (4.27) and the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have that for any $i\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i)-\tilde{v}_0\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}&\le\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i)-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}+\|\tilde{v}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\\ &\le 2\|\tilde{u}_0-f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)},\end{aligned}$$

and hence for any $\tau > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{v}_0 - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \liminf_{j\to\infty} \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_j) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau+\tau_i) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau+\tau_i) - \tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i) - \tilde{v}_0\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Letting $i \to \infty$ in (4.30),

$$\|\tilde{v}_0 - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

which together with (4.29) implies (4.28). □

We are now ready to prove the local uniform convergence of the rescaled function of the solution of (1.3) to an eternal solution of (1.23) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ as well as convergence in the weighted L^1-space with weight $|x|^{-\mu_1}$ as $\tau \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \ge 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < n$, and let α, β be given by* (1.6). *Let u_0 satisfy* (1.15) *and* (1.25) *for some constants $A_2 \ge A_0 \ge A_1 > 0$ and $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2$, where μ_1, μ_2 are given by* (1.16). *Let u be the solution of* (1.3) *which satisfies* (1.20), *where $\lambda_i = A_i^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$ for $i = 1,2$, and let $\tilde{u}(y,\tau)$ be given by* (1.22). *Let $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $\tau_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and*

$$\tilde{u}_i(\cdot,\tau) := \tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i+\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.31}$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, which we still denote by $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, and an eternal solution $\tilde{v}$ of (1.23) *in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ such that $\tilde{u}_i$ converges to $\tilde{v}$ uniformly on every compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$. Moreover*

$$\tilde{u}(\cdot,0) - f_{\lambda_0} \in L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{4.32}$$

where $\lambda_0 := A_0^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$ and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|\tilde{u}_i(\cdot,\tau) - \tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.33}$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{u}$ satisfies (1.23) and (1.24) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$, the equation (1.23) for $\tilde{u}_i$ is uniformly parabolic in $\mathcal{A}_R \times (-\infty,\infty)$ for any $R > 1$. Then by the parabolic Schauder estimates [LSU], the sequence $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact set $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$. By the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument, there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, which we still denote by $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and some function $\tilde{v} \in C^{2,1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty))$ such that $\tilde{u}_i$ converges to $\tilde{v}$ uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ as $i \to \infty$ for any compact set $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$. Then $\tilde{v}$ is an eternal solution of (1.23) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ and satisfies

$$f_{\lambda_1} \le \tilde{v} \le f_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty). \tag{4.34}$$

We next observe that by (1.20), (1.25), and Theorem 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{u}(y,0) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y,1) - U_{\lambda_0}(y,1)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&\le \int_{B_1} |u(y,1) - U_{\lambda_0}(y,1)||y|^{-\mu}\,dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_1} |u(y,1) - U_{\lambda_0}(y,1)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0(y) - A_0|y|^{-\gamma}||y|^{-\mu}\,dy + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_1} f_{\lambda_2}(y)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0(y) - A_0|y|^{-\gamma}||y|^{-\mu}\,dy + C\int_1^\infty r^{n-1-\frac{n-2}{m}-\mu_1}\,dr\\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0(y) - A_0|y|^{-\gamma}||y|^{-\mu}\,dy + C'
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C > 0$, $C' > 0$ and (4.32) follows. Now we will prove (4.33). By the proof of Lemma 4.2 and the Fatou Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{u}_i(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,0) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall\tau \ge -\tau_i\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,0) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall\tau \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - \tilde{v}(y,\tau)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&\le \int_{\mathcal{A}_R} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - \tilde{v}(y,\tau)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{A}_R} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{A}_R} |\tilde{v}(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Let us fix $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (1.24) and (4.35), there exists a constant $R_1 > 1$ such that for any $R \ge R_1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{A}_R} |\tilde{v}(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy \le \varepsilon, \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_R} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)|\cdot|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy \le& 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_R} f_{\lambda_2}(y)|y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_{R_1}} |y|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}-\mu_1}\,dy\\
\le& CR_1^{-(\frac{n-2}{m}-\gamma)} \le \varepsilon \qquad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Let $t_i := e^{\tau+\tau_i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by (1.25) and Theorem 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{1/R_1}} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy \le& \int_{B_{1/R_1}} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}(y)||y|^{-\mu}\,dy\\ =& t_i^{\alpha-n\beta}\int_{B_{t_i^{\beta}/R_1}} |u(x,t_i) - U_{\lambda_0}(x,t_i)||t_i^{-\beta}x|^{-\mu}\,dx\\ =& t_i^{\beta(\mu-\mu_1)}\int_{B_{t_i^{\beta}/R_1}} |u(x,t_i) - U_{\lambda_0}(x,t_i)||x|^{-\mu}\,dx\\ \le& t_i^{\beta(\mu-\mu_1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|u_0(x) - A_0|x|^{-\gamma}\right||x|^{-\mu}\,dx. \qquad (4.39)\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.36), (4.37), (4.38) and (4.39), we deduce that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - \tilde{v}(y,\tau)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy\\ \le& \int_{\mathcal{A}_{R_1}} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - \tilde{v}(y,\tau)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy + e^{\beta(\mu-\mu_1)(\tau+\tau_i)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|u_0(x) - A_0|x|^{-\gamma}\right||x|^{-\mu}\,dx + 2\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Since $\beta(\mu-\mu_1) < 0$, letting $i \to \infty$ in (4.40), by the uniform convergence of $\tilde{u}_i$ to $\tilde{v}$ on each compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{u}_i(y,\tau) - \tilde{v}(y,\tau)||y|^{-\mu_1}\,dy \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (4.33) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Let $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be any sequence such that $\tau_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, and let $\tilde{u}_i$ be given by (4.31). By Lemma 4.4 there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which we still denote by $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, that converges to an eternal solution $\tilde{v}(y,\tau)$ of (1.23) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ uniformly on any compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$, and (4.32) and (4.33) hold.

Let $\tilde{v}_0(x) = \tilde{v}(x,0)$. Then by Lemma 4.3, (4.27) and (4.28) hold. We claim that $\tilde{v}_0 \equiv f_{\lambda_0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\tilde{v}_0 \not\equiv f_{\lambda_0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\tilde{v}$ satisfies (4.34) with $\lambda_i = A_i^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$, $i = 1,2$, by Lemma 4.2 together with (4.27),

$$\|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} < \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,0) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall \tau > 0$$

which contradicts (4.28). Thus we conclude that $\tilde{v}_0 \equiv f_{\lambda_0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $\tilde{u}_i(\cdot,0) = \tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau_i)$ converges to f_{λ_0} uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $i \to \infty$.

Since the sequence $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary, we deduce that $\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau)$ converges to f_{λ_0} uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $\tau \to \infty$. By (4.33),

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \|\tilde{u}(\cdot,\tau) - f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 4.5. *(a) Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.5, if we restrict ourselves to the case*

$$\max\left(\frac{2}{1-m},\frac{n}{m+1}\right) < \gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < n, \tag{4.41}$$

we can obtain results similar to Theorem 1.5 using a different weighted L^1*-space. More precisely, let*

$$\theta_1 := \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\mu_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \theta_2 := \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\mu_2.$$

Then (4.41) *implies* $\theta_1 < m$*. For any* $\theta \in (0,m]\cap(\theta_1,\theta_2)$*, consider the weighted* L^1*-space with weight* $f^\theta := f^\theta_{\lambda_2}$ *defined by*

$$L^1(f^\theta;\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{h : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)|f^\theta(x)dx < \infty\right\}$$

with norm

$$||h||_{L^1(f^\theta;\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)|f^\theta(x)dx.$$

Then $L^1\left(f^{\theta_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *is a slightly bigger space than* $L^1\left(r^{-\mu_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *since by* (4.9),

$$f^{\theta_1}(x) = \begin{cases} A_2^{\theta_1}|x|^{-\mu_1}(1+o(1)) & \textit{as } |x| \to 0, \\ \overline{D}_2^{\theta_1}|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}\cdot\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\cdot\mu_1}(1+o(1)) & \textit{as } |x| \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Replacing $L^1\left(r^{-\mu};\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *for* $\mu \in (\mu_1,\mu_2)$*, and* (1.25) *in Theroem 1.5 by* $L^1\left(f^\theta;\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *for* $\theta \in (0,m]\cap(\theta_1,\theta_2)$ *and*

$$u_0 - A_0|x|^{-\gamma} \in L^1\left(f^\theta;\mathbb{R}^n\right)$$

one can deduce that the rescaled function $\tilde{u}(y,\tau)$ *given by* (1.22) *converges to* f_{λ_0} *with* $\lambda_0 := A_0^{1/(\frac{2}{1-m}-\gamma)}$*, as* $\tau \to \infty$*, uniformly on every compact subset of* $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$*, and in* $L^1\left(f^{\theta_1};\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *by using similar arguments as the proof of Theorem 1.5. In fact* (4.41) *which implies that* $\theta_1 < m$ *is needed in the proof of the corresponding strong contraction principle with weight* f^{θ_1} *for rescaled solutions. More specifically, for any* $\tau > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{a}(y,\tau)\Delta f^{\theta_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla f^{\theta_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)f^{\theta_1} \\
\le& m f^{m-1}\Delta f^{\theta_1} - \beta y\cdot\nabla f^{\theta_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)f^{\theta_1} \\
\le& m f^{m-1}\frac{\theta_1}{m}f^{\theta_1-m}\Delta f^m - \beta y\cdot\nabla f^{\theta_1} + (\alpha - n\beta)f^{\theta_1} \\
=& f^{\theta_1-1}\left\{-2\theta_1\beta y\cdot\nabla f + (-\theta_1\alpha + \alpha - n\beta)f\right\} \\
<& f^{\theta_1}\left\{2\theta_1\alpha + (-\theta_1\alpha + \alpha - n\beta)\right\} = 0 \qquad \textit{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}
\end{aligned}$$

by (1.11) *where* $\tilde{a}(y,\tau)$ *is given by* (4.19) *(cf.* (4.21)*).*

(b) If $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \le m < \frac{n-2}{n}$*, then* $\frac{2}{1-m} \ge \frac{n}{m+1}$ *and hence* (4.41) *holds for* $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in \left(\frac{2}{1-m}, n\right)$*.*

References

[A] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[BBDGV] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vazquez, *Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation via entropy estimates*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **191** (2009), 347–385.

[BDGV] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vazquez, *Sharp rates of decay of solutions to the nonlinear fast diffusion equation via functional inequalities,* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences **107** (2010), no. 38, 16459–16464.

[DK] P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig, *Degenerate diffusion: initial value problems and local regularity theory,* Tracts in Mathematics 1, European Math. Soc., 2007.

[DKS] P. Daskalopoulos, J. King and N. Sesum, *Extinction profile of complete non-compact solutions to the Yamabe flow,* arXiv:1306.0859.

[DPS] P. Daskalopoulos, M.del Pino and N. Sesum, *Type II ancient compact solutions to the Yamabe flow,* arXiv:1209.5479.

[DS1] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutions to fast diffusion,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **622** (2008), 95–119.

[DS2] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *The classification of locally conformally flat Yamabe solitons,* Adv. Math. **240** (2013), 346–369.

[FVWY] M. Fila, J.L. Vazquez, M. Winkler and E. Yanagida, *Rate of convergence to Barenblatt profiles for the fast diffusion equation,* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **204** (2012), no. 2, 599–625.

[FW] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to separable solutions of the fast diffusion equation,* arXiv:1405.4661.

[HP] M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre, *The Cauchy problem for* $u_t = \Delta u^m$ *when* $0 < m < 1$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), no. 1, 145–158.

[Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Asymptotic profile of solutions of a singular diffusion equation as* $t \to \infty$, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **48** (2002), 781–790.

[Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Singular limit and exact decay rate of a nonlinear elliptic equation,* Nonlinear Anal. TMA **75** (2012), 3443–3455.

[Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the very fast diffusioin equation,* Manuscripta Math. **140** (2013), nos. 3-4, 441–460.

[Hui1] K.M. Hui, *On some Dirichlet and Cauchy problems for a singular diffusion equation,* Differential Integral Equations **15** (2002), no. 7, 769–804.

[Hui2] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation,* Nonlinear Anal. TMA **68** (2008), 1120–1147.

[Hui3] K.M. Hui, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the fast diffusion equation near its extinction time,* arXiv:1407.2696.

[K] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials,* Israel J. Math. **13** (1973), 135–148.

[LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type,* Transl. Math. Mono. vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A., 1968.

[OR] S.J. Osher and J.V. Ralston, L^1 *stability of traveling waves with applications to convective porous media flow,* Comm.Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 7371–7749.

[PS] M.del Pino and M. Sáez, *On the extinction profile for solutions of* $u_t = \Delta u^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}}$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 1, 611–628.

[V1] J.L. Vazquez, *Nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear heat equations of fast-diffusion type,* J. Math. Pures Appl. **71** (1992), 503–526.

[V2] J.L. Vazquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations,* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 33, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[Y] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow,* J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 1, 35–50.

KIN MING HUI: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R. O. C.
E-mail address: `kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw`

SOOJUNG KIM: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R. O. C.
E-mail address: `soojung26@gmail.com; soojung26@math.sinica.edu.tw`